Report of Deputy Chief Executive

## Report to Executive Board

Date: $16^{\text {th }}$ July 2014

## Subject: TREASURY MANAGEMENT OUTTURN REPORT 2013/14

| Are specific electoral Wards affected? | $\square$ Yes | $\boxed{V}$ No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| If relevant, name(s) of Ward(s): |  |  |
| Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and <br> integration? | $\square$ Yes | $\sqrt{ }$ No |
| Is the decision eligible for Call-In? | $\boxed{v}$ Yes | $\square$ No |
| Does the report contain confidential or exempt information? <br> If relevant, Access to Information Procedure Rule number: <br> Appendix number: | $\square$ Yes | $\sqrt{ }$ No |

## Summary of main issues

1. This report sets out for Members' approval the Treasury Management Outturn Report for 2013/14.
2. This report shows that net external debt at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2014 was $£ 1,393 \mathrm{~m}, £ 97 \mathrm{~m}$ below the February 2014 forecast. This movement is entirely due to higher than anticipated revenue balances and short-term temporary fluctuations in year-end balances. The level of debt and liabilities should be viewed in the context of the Council's assets which were valued at $£ 3.4$ bn as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2013.
3. The level of debt has remained within the Authorised limit as approved by the Council in February 2014. Treasury management operated within normal tolerance levels of its prudential indicators and resulted in no breach of its prudential indicators throughout 2013/14.
4. Debt savings of $£ 2.1 \mathrm{~m}$ have been generated against the original budget. These savings have been generated through continuing to fund the borrowing requirement at low short term rates and greater than expected revenue balances.
5. The average rate of interest paid on the Council's external debt has reduced to $3.93 \%$ for 2013/14 compared to $4.02 \%$ for 2012/13.

## Recommendations

6. That Executive Board note the Treasury Management outturn position for 2013/14 and that treasury strategy has remained within the treasury management strategy and policy framework.

## 1 Purpose of this report

1.1 This report provides members with a final update on Treasury Management Strategy and operations in 2013/14.

## 2 Background information

2.1 The operation of the Treasury Management function is governed by provisions set out under part 1 of the Local Government Act 2003, whereby the Council is required to have regard to the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy (CIPFA) Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities (amended 2009 and 2011) in particular:

- The Prudential Code requires that full Council set certain limits on the level and type of borrowing before the start of the financial year together with a number of prudential indicators.
- Any in year revision of these limits must be set by Council.
- Policy statements are prepared for approval by the Council at least twice a year.


## 3 Main Issues

### 3.1 Review of Strategy 2013/14

3.1.1 Table 1 , below shows that net borrowing in $2013 / 14$ was $£ 1,393 \mathrm{~m}$, $£ 97 \mathrm{~m}$ below the February 2014 position. This movement is entirely due to higher than anticipated revenue balances and short-term temporary fluctuations in year-end balances. The level of debt and liabilities should be viewed in the context of the Council's assets which were valued at $£ 3.4 \mathrm{bn}$ as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2013. The Capital programme outturn position was reported in section 6 of the June Financial Performance - Outturn Report to Executive Board.

TABLE 1

| ANALYSIS OF BORROWNG 2013/14 | 2013/14 <br> Nov 13 <br> Report <br> £m | 2013/14 <br> Feb 14 <br> Report <br> £m | 2013/14 <br> This Report £m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Borrowing at 1 April | 1,446 | 1,446 | 1,446 |
| New Borrowing for the Capital Programme - Non HRA | 110 | 79 | 78 |
| New Borrowing for the Capital Programme - HRA | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Debt redemption costs charged to Revenue (Incl HRA) | (45) | (45) | (45) |
| Reduced/(Increased) level of Revenue Balances | 31 | 10 | (86) |
| Net Borrowing at 31 March* | 1,542 | 1,490 | 1,393 |
| Capital Financing Requirement** |  |  | 1,775 |
| Other long term liabilities capital financing requirement |  |  | 523 |
| * Comprised as follows |  |  |  |
| Long term Fixed** | 1,277 | 1,307 | 1,347 |
| Variable (less than 1 Year) | 65 | 40 | 0 |
| New Borrowing | 96 | 44 | 0 |
| Short term Borrowing | 127 | 123 | 67 |
| Total External Borrowing | 1,565 | 1,514 | 1,414 |
| Less Investments | 23 | 24 | 21 |
| Net External Borrowing | 1,542 | 1,490 | 1,393 |
| \% borrowing funded by short term and variable rate loans | 18\% | 14\% | 5\% |

**Note: The Capital Financing Requirement (CFR) is the maximum level of debt (i.e. borrowing and finance leasing) that the Council can hold for its current year capital purposes. The Council is also allowed to borrow in advance for up to two future years capital programmes.
3.1.2 Graph 1, below shows the level of debt during 2013/14 remained within prudential authorised limits during the year. The Authorised Limit is the maximum permitted amount of borrowing the Council can have outstanding at any given time and has not been breached during 2013/14. The operational boundary is a key management tool and can be breached temporarily depending on cash flow. This limit acts as a warning mechanism to prevent the authorised limit from being breached. Treasury management strategy has resulted in no breach of its prudential indicators which are detailed in Appendix A. All of these prudential indicators are within normal tolerance levels of treasury management.

## Graph 1


3.1.3 Since the economic update to Members in February, in the Treasury Management Strategy 2014/15, the recovery of the economy has continued but with mixed signals. The key points to the quarter ending $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2014 are:

- Indicators suggested that the economic recovery is continuing with growth in UK GDP of $0.7 \%$ in the $4^{\text {th }}$ Quarter;
- Household spending rose again;
- Inflation fell to its lowest level in over 4 years;
- Unemployment edged closer to the Bank of England's Monetary Policy Comittee's (MPC) 7\% forward guidance 'phase one' threshold;
- The MPC's revamped guidance appeared to keep market rates anchored;
- The US Federal Reserve continued to reduce its monthly stimulus.
3.1.4 MPC decided to tweak its forward guidance at the time of the February Inflation Report. Forward guidance 'phase two' contains five elements:
- The MPC sets policy to achieve the $2 \%$ inflation target, and, subject to that, to support the Government's economic policies, including those for growth and employment.
- Despite the sharp fall in unemployment, there remains scope to absorb spare capacity further before raising Bank Rate
- When Bank Rate does begin to rise, the appropriate path so as to eliminate slack over the next two to three years and keep inflation close to the target is expected to be gradual (i.e. probably a quarter percent rise).
- Even when the economy has returned to normal levels of capacity utilisation and inflation is close to the target, the appropriate level of Bank Rate is likely to be materially below the $5 \%$ level set on average by the Committee prior to the financial crisis.
- The MPC will not sell any of the holding of $£ 375$ bn of gilts before the first rise in Bank Rate
3.1.5 The US economy grew by $1.9 \%$ in 2013 (2012 2.8\%), despite sharp cuts in federal expenditure that took effect on 1st March 2014 and increases in taxation. As for economic growth, the Europe finally escaped from seven quarters of recession in quarter 2 of $2013(+0.1 \%)$ but growth remained weak in quarter $3(+0.1 \%)$ and quarter 4 (+0.3\%) and is likely to remain weak and so will dampen UK growth. The ECB's pledge to buy unlimited amounts of bonds from countries which ask for a bailout has provided heavily indebted countries with a strong defence against market forces.
3.1.6 Graph 2 below shows the movement in PWLB interest rates over the year. As expected there was greater volatility in loan rates with shorter duration compared to the rates of longer duration. The $91 / 2-10$ year loan length fluctuated by $1.4 \%$ and the $491 / 2$ - 50 loan length fluctuated by $0.7 \%$. This reflects the uncertainty around when the bank rate, which has remained anchored at $0.50 \%$, will start to rise.


## Graph 2


3.1.7 Debt savings of $£ 2.1 \mathrm{~m}$ were reported in the Revenue Outturn report to Executive Board on $26^{\text {th }}$ June 2014. These savings have been generated through continuing to fund the borrowing requirement at low short term rates and greater than expected revenue balances.
3.1.8 Table 2 shows $£ 55 \mathrm{~m}$ of longer term funding was secured during the year. This position has not changed since the strategy report in February. The table also shows that no market loans were called during the entire year. These market loans are termed Lenders Option Borrowers Option (LOBO) and contain clauses which allow
the lender, at pre-determined dates, to vary the interest rate on the loan. If one of these options is exercised and the new rate is not accepted, the borrower then has the option to repay the loan without penalty

Table 2

| Loan repayments and borrowing 2013/2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loan Repayments |  |  |  | New Borrowing |  |  |  |
| Date | Amount (£m) | Original Rate | Discount Rate | Date | Amount <br> (£m) | Term <br> (Years) | Interest Rate <br> (\%) |
| PWLB Loans |  |  |  | PWLB |  |  |  |
| 07/05/2013 | 13 | 3.59 | Maturity | 10/04/2013 | 10 | 15 | 3.18 |
|  |  |  |  | 10/04/2013 | 10 | 50 | 3.85 |
|  |  |  |  | 02/10/2013 | 15 | $91 / 2$ | 3.38 |
| Sub Total | 13 |  |  |  | 35 |  |  |
| Non PWLB Loans (Call date) |  |  |  | Non PWLB Loans |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05/07/2013 | 10 | 1.45 | Maturity | 26/07/2013 | 5 | 3 | 0.98 |
|  |  |  |  | 16/10/2013 | 10 | 5 | 1.35 |
|  |  |  |  | 06/12/2013 | 5 | 5 | 2.20 |
| Sub Total | 10 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  |
| Total | 23 |  |  | Total | 55 |  |  |

### 3.2 Interest Rate Performance and Average Maturity Profile

3.2.1 The average rate of interest paid on the Council's external debt was $3.93 \%$ (down from 4.02\%) as shown in Graph 3. The average interest rate in 2012/13 was one of the lowest of all Metropolitan Councils as shown in Appendix B.
Graph 3

3.2.2 Whilst the average borrowing rate has continued to fall it is important to note the average maturity profile of the Council's debt. The average length of all loans to final maturity including temporary loans is 37.6 years. The average length of all loans to the next option date including temporary loans is 20.8 years. This provides a large degree of funding certainty within the overall debt portfolio. Appendix C analyses debt as at $31^{\text {st }}$ March 2014 by interest rate band and the year of maturity or first option date for LOBO loans. The final maturity of LOBO loans is shown as a memo item in the table at the bottom of Appendix C.

## 4 Corporate Considerations

### 4.1 Consultation and Engagement

4.1.1 This report is an update on strategy as presented to Executive Board in February, as such no consultation has taken place. However, consultation with the Council's treasury advisors takes place regularly throughout the year.
4.1.2 The borrowing requirement is an outcome of the capital programme which has been the subject of consultation and engagement as outlined in the February capital programme report.

### 4.2 Equality and Diversity / Cohesion and Integration

4.2.1 Equality, diversity, cohesion and integration requirements are addressed as part of individual capital scheme and programme approvals. The borrowing to deliver these capital schemes is executed through treasury strategy and as such there are no further equality, diversity, cohesion and integration issues.

### 4.3 Council Policies and City Priorities

4.3.1 Treasury Management strategy secures funding to support the Council's Policies and City Priorities as set out in the Council capital programme and is consistent with the Council's business plan.

### 4.4 Resources and Value for Money

4.4.1 This update on the treasury strategy recognises the borrowing necessary to fund the capital programme requirements of both General Fund and HRA. The revenue costs of borrowing are included within the revenue budgets of the general fund and HRA.
4.4.2 Debt savings of $£ 2.1 \mathrm{~m}$ against the budget have been delivered.

### 4.5 Legal Implications, Access to Information and Call In

4.5.1 In accordance with the Council's Budget and Policy Framework, decisions on borrowing limits, treasury management indicators, investment limits and the Treasury management Policy Statement are approved by Council. Monitoring reports are considered by Executive Board and are subject to call in. There are no further legal, access to information or call in issues.

### 4.6 Risk Management

4.6.1 This report sets out performance against the 2013/14 treasury strategy. The execution of strategy and associated risks are kept under regular review through:

- Monthly monitoring of debt costs and reporting forms part of the monthly update on the Council's Revenue position to Executive Board.
- Quarterly strategy meetings with the Director of Resources and the Council's treasury advisors
- Regular market, economic and financial instrument updates and access to real time market information


## 5 Conclusions

5.1 This Treasury Management Outturn Report for 2013/14 provides a final update on loans undertaken to fund the capital programme requirements for both General Fund and HRA. Treasury activity during the year was conducted within the approved borrowing limits for the year and resulted in significant savings to the revenue budget.

6 Recommendations
Executive Board are asked to note:
6.1 The Treasury Management outturn position for 2013/14 and that treasury activity has remained within the treasury management strategy and policy framework.

## 7 Background documents ${ }^{1}$

7.1 None

[^0]Leeds City Council - Prudential Indicators 2013/14


| 16 | Maturity structure of fixed rate borrowing 2013/14 | Upper Limit | Upper Limit | $\begin{gathered} \text { Actual } \\ 31 / 03 / 14 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | under 12 months | 15\% | 15\% | 0.0\% |
|  | 12 months and within 24 months | 20\% | 20\% | 9.6\% |
|  | 24 months and within 5 years | 35\% | 35\% | 22.7\% |
|  | 5 years and within 10 years | 40\% | 40\% | 12.2\% |
|  | 10 years and above | 90\% | 90\% | 55.6\% |

otes.

1 The indicator for the ratio of financing costs to net revenue stream for General Fund is now calculated based on the Net Revenue Charge less the Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG). The Government changed the funding of education to DSG from 2006/07.

2 The code requires that the Council identifies the capital financing costs arising from unsupported borrowing expressed as the amount per band $D$ property.

3 In order to ensure that over the medium term net borrowing will only be for a capital purpose, the Council should ensure that net external borrowing does not exceed the total capital financing requirement in the preceding year plus estimates of any additional capital financing requirement for the current and next two financial years. This is a key indicator of prudence.

4 Limits are the same as the Feb 14 report.
5 Prudential indicator 12 relates to actual external debt at 31 st March, which is reported in the main body of this report.

| Authority Name |  |  | Appendix B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda}{n} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \hline \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$ | Total Debt at 31.03.13 | Gross Average Rate of Interest on All External Debt |
|  |  |  | $£^{\prime} 000$ | \% |
| Sunderland | 1 | 1 | 213,108 | 3.41 |
| Barnsley | 2 | 3 | 569,702 | 3.82 |
| Liverpool | 2 | 4 | 316,733 | 3.82 |
| Leeds | 4 | 2 | 1,460,156 | 4.02 |
| Doncaster | 5 | 15 | 390,292 | 4.33 |
| Oldham | 6 | 21 | 148,425 | 4.38 |
| Wolverhampton | 7 | 8 | 519,134 | 4.42 |
| Sefton | 8 | 6 | 123,311 | 4.51 |
| Solihull | 9 | 13 | 220,266 | 4.52 |
| South Tyneside | 10 | 11 | 483,946 | 4.61 |
| Average of Met Districts |  |  |  | 4.64 |
| Rotherham | 11 | 10 | 488,437 | 4.68 |
| Birmingham | 12 |  | 3,090,948 | 4.70 |
| North Tyneside | 13 | 12 | 451,449 | 4.76 |
| Dudley | 14 | 20 | 737,011 | 4.91 |
| Manchester | 14 | 9 | 493,360 | 4.91 |
| Sandwell | 16 | 14 | 525,286 | 4.97 |
| Kirklees | 17 | 18 | 479,113 | 5.36 |
| Wirral | 18 | 17 | 247,174 | 5.43 |
| Wakefield | 19 | 19 | 236,719 | 5.69 |
| Wigan | 20 | 24 | 434,378 | 5.87 |
| St Helens | 21 | 23 | 73,373 | 6.51 |
| Bolton |  |  | - | NR |
| Bradford |  |  | - | NR |
| Bury |  | 7 | - | NR |
| Calderdale |  |  | - | NR |
| Coventry |  |  | - | NR |
| Gateshead |  |  | - | NR |
| Knowsley |  |  | - | NR |
| Newcastle upon Tyne |  | 5 | - | NR |
| Rochdale |  |  | - | NR |
| Salford |  |  | - | NR |
| Sheffield |  | 22 | - | NR |
| Stockport |  |  | - | NR |
| Tameside |  |  | - | NR |
| Trafford |  | 16 | - | NR |
| Walsall |  |  | - | NR |

Source: CIPFA Capital Expenditure and Treasury Management Statistics 2012/13

Debt as at 31st March 2014
Table below shows a breakdown of the maturity structure of the authority giving totals within interest bands

| Year Ending 31st March | to 2\% | 2\% to 2.99\% | 3\% to 3.99\% | 4\% to 4.99\% | Greater Than 5\% | Principal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | £k | £k | £k | £k | £k | £k |
| Fixed Rate Loans - LOBO to First Option |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2015 | - | - | 35,000 | 75,000 | - | 110,000 |
| 2016 | - | 8,812 | 25,000 | 85,000 | - | 118,812 |
| 2017 | 15,000 | 8,812 | 25,000 | 55,000 | - | 103,812 |
| 2018 | - | - | 10,000 | 10,000 | - | 20,000 |
| 2019 | 10,000 | 5,000 | 61,436 | 80,000 | - | 156,436 |
| 2020 | - | - | 26,436 | - | - | 26,436 |
| 2021 | - | - | 8,812 | - |  | 8,812 |
| 2022 | - | - | 36,436 | - | - | 36,436 |
| 2023 | - | 20,000 | 17,624 | - | - | 37,624 |
| 2024 | - | - | 15,000 | 26,436 | - | 41,436 |
| 2025 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2026 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2027 | - | - | 18,812 | - | - | 18,812 |
| 2028 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2029 | - | - | 20,000 | - | - | 20,000 |
| 2034 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2035 | - | - | 5,000 | - | - | 5,000 |
| 2049 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2050 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2051 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2052 | - | - | - | 28,727 | - | 28,727 |
| 2053 | - | - | - | 145,396 | - | 145,396 |
| 2054 | - | - | - | 49,347 | - | 49,347 |
| 2055 | - | - | - | 75,782 | - | 75,782 |
| 2056 | - | - | - | 67,173 | - | 67,173 |
| 2057 | - | - | - | 115,436 | - | 115,436 |
| 2058 | - | - | - | 102,218 | 14,099 | 116,317 |
| 2059 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2060 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2061 | - | - | - | 17,624 | - | 17,624 |
| 2062 | - | - | 17,624 | - | - | 17,624 |
| 2063 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2064 | - | - | 10,000 | - | - | 10,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - |
| Sub Total | 25,000 | 42,624 | 332,178 | 933,137 | 14,099 | 1,347,039 |


| Temporary Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2014 | 67,000 | - | - | - | - | 67,000 |
| Sub Total | 67,000 | - | - | - | - | 67,000 |


| Memo LOBO Variable Rate Loans to Maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2047 | - | - | 10,000 | - |  |  |
| 2055 | - | - | 5,000 | 15,000 | - | 10,000 |
| 2056 | - | - | 10,000 | 50,000 | - | 20,000 |
| 2066 | - | - | 10,000 | 45,000 | - | 50,000 |
| 2067 | - | - | 55,000 | 20,000 | - | 75,000 |
| 2077 | - | - | 50,000 | 15,000 | - | 65,000 |
| 2078 | - | - | - | 140,000 | - | 140,000 |
| 2079 | - | - | - | 20,000 | - | 20,000 |
| Sub Total | - | - | $\mathbf{1 4 0 , 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 5 , 0 0 0}$ | - | $\mathbf{4 4 5 , 0 0 0}$ |

LOBO's Shown at Maturity in Bottom Memo Section.
LOBO's included in main section at next option date. (Highlighted)


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The background documents listed in this section are available for download from the Council's website, unless they contain confidential or exempt information. The list of background documents does not include published works.

